

# Antiviral chemotherapy in veterinary medicine: current applications and perspectives

F. Dal Pozzo <sup>(1,2)</sup> & E. Thiry <sup>(1)\*</sup>

(1) Veterinary Virology and Animal Viral Diseases, Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liège, Boulevard de Colonster 20, B43b, B-4000, Liege, Belgium

(2) Present address: Research Unit in Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Applied to Veterinary Sciences (UREAR-ULg), Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Liege, Boulevard de Colonster 20, B42, B-4000 Liege, Belgium

\*Corresponding author: etienne.thiry@ulg.ac.be

## Summary

The current situation in the use of antiviral drugs in veterinary medicine is characterised by a novel and optimistic approach. Viruses of veterinary importance are still used as animal models in the development of human therapeutics, but there is growing interest in many of these viruses in the identification of antiviral molecules for use in both livestock and companion animals. The use of antiviral drugs in livestock animals is envisaged for the treatment or control of disease on a large scale (mass treatment), whereas in companion animals an individual approach is favoured. An overview of the most recent examples of research in the use of antivirals in veterinary medicine is presented, with particular emphasis on their *in vivo* applications.

## Keywords

Animal model – Antiviral therapy – Chemotherapy – Companion animal – Disease control – Drug – Immunomodulator – Livestock animal – Veterinary medicine.

## Introduction

The use of antiviral drugs in human and veterinary medicine is limited in comparison with the use of antimicrobial agents. Viruses are obligate intracellular pathogens that utilise the biochemical machinery of the host cell during their replication. The most severe constraint in the development of antiviral drugs has been the identification of specific viral targets with increased selectivity and reduced side effects. However, in recent years a more rational approach has characterised the search for new antiviral drugs, thanks to a better understanding of the molecular replication machinery of viruses and use of computational methods for modelling protein structure, together with the use of RNA interference (RNAi) technology for sequence-specific inhibition of viral nucleic acids. Efforts are being made in numerous research networks to provide information on viral structural proteins, replication mechanisms and potential drug targets (1).

At present, only one antiviral compound has been licensed for use in veterinary medicine: feline interferon-omega

(IFN- $\omega$ ), which has a yet undefined mechanism of activity that most probably combines immunostimulatory activity with antiviral activity (2). Despite this, several antivirals licensed for use in human medicine are currently used with the cascade principle in therapy for animal diseases (3); for example, idoxuridine, trifluoridine and aciclovir in cats with feline herpesvirus 1 (FeHV-1) ocular infection (4) or zidovudine against feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) (5). At the beginning of the 1990s, state-of-the-art reviews on the use and perspectives of antiviral chemotherapy in veterinary medicine (6, 7) cited several reasons for the low use of these agents in veterinary medicine:

- the high cost of development of new chemical compounds, particularly for use in food species
- use restricted to a single virus and a specific animal species
- difficulties encountered in development of broad-spectrum antivirals with low cytotoxicity
- absence of rapid diagnostic techniques allowing prompt use of a specific antiviral agent in the course of an acute infection (6, 7).

Regardless of these arguments, animal viruses were used as models in the development of antivirals for human medicine. Examples include bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV), which is considered a valuable surrogate for hepatitis C virus in antiviral drug studies (8, 9), and cottontail rabbit papillomavirus, which has been used as an effective model for assessment of anti-papillomavirus activity, in particular in experiments for determining dosing schedules and treatment regimens *in vivo* (10). Although FIV infection in cats differs from human immunodeficiency virus in humans for the target lymphocyte cell population, this animal model has been used in exploratory studies to test the antiviral activity of selected compounds before their subsequent use in non-human primates (11).

Several factors have a role in the changing scenario characterised by more favourable conditions for use of antiviral drugs in veterinary medicine. The successful use of antiviral chemotherapy in some human viral diseases has increased confidence and awareness of the existence of efficient antiviral drugs that can also be used in veterinary medicine. In addition, veterinary internal medicine has undergone refinement and progress with diagnostics and treatment, allowing the use of sophisticated and expensive protocols. The relationship between humans and their companion animals is often characterised by an emotional bond that justifies recourse to therapeutic means not previously envisaged. Furthermore, despite the efforts in development of efficient vaccines, control of important animal viral diseases by vaccination has limitations, caused, for example, by the high genetic and antigenic variability of the virus. Culling susceptible animals is policy in the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) *Terrestrial Animal Health Code* and is recommended to prevent the spread of highly contagious diseases of livestock animals in cases of epidemics occurring in disease-free countries. However, measures to stamp out disease imply serious direct and indirect economic consequences related to the loss of valuable animals, loss of productivity, compensation for the owners of the animals and the costs of disposing of the animals and their carcasses. The impact on the environment and the emotional effects are further serious outcomes of this measure. The culling of millions of animals during the foot and mouth disease (FMD) outbreak in the United Kingdom in 2001 was the topic of heated debate, because of controversies around its effectiveness, the economic and ethical consequences, and the impact on public opinion (12). The use of efficient antivirals and other control measures such as vaccination has been proposed as an alternative approach to culling in the control of highly contagious diseases of livestock (7, 13, 14).

In both the academic and the private sector, interest is growing in research on the use of antivirals in animal health. The following paragraphs present an overview of the most recent examples, with particular emphasis on *in vivo* research applications.

## Viral infections of livestock animals

### Foot and mouth disease virus

Foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) is a highly contagious pathogen of cloven-hoofed mammals and one of the biggest concerns for veterinary authorities. The control measures to be used in an outbreak vary according to the disease status of the affected area (enzootic or disease free). Vaccination requires identification of the involved viral serotype and subtype, immunity is limited to six months and there is an immunity gap of four to seven days to trigger the immune response (15). The use of anti-FMD drugs has been discussed as an alternative or supplementary method to be used in previously FMD-free countries or zones (13). Use of such antiviral treatment in a vaccinated zone could protect against viral dissemination and fill the time gap between vaccination and the development of protective immunity.

Apart from broad-spectrum antiviral agents such as ribavirin, specific anti-FMDV molecules have been identified *in vitro* (13, 16). Among them, the ribonucleoside analogue 2'-C-methylcytidine, which is able to interfere with intracellular viral RNA synthesis (13), was recently used successfully to protect severe combined immunodeficient mice from FMDV infection (17). Successful use has been reported of the pyrazinocarboxamide compound T-1105 mixed into the feed of pigs after challenge with FMDV (18): the treated animals did not show any clinical signs of the disease or viraemia, and did not excrete the virus via the nasal route, thus reducing the risk of transmission to susceptible animals (18). This preliminary *in vivo* result and the possibility of treating large numbers of animals in the feed suggest that this molecule may be a promising tool to control FMDV, especially in FMD-free countries. The viral target of T-1105 has been suggested as the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (19), and further efforts should be made to clearly identify the mechanism of action. Large-scale experiments will be required before T-1105 can be developed as an effective alternative control tool.

Immunomodulators such as IFN have been investigated for their anti-FMDV properties. Porcine type I IFN (po IFN- $\alpha$ ) delivered by a replication-defective human adenovirus vector rapidly protected pigs against FMDV challenge 24 h post-inoculation (20). The pigs did not show clinical signs, viraemia was not detected and low levels of neutralising antibodies were found (20). However, treatment with po IFN- $\alpha$  delivered with the same adenovirus system did not induce the same protection in cattle, which displayed a delayed and mild form of disease after FMDV challenge (21). Nevertheless, immediate and prolonged protection against FMDV was obtained by combining the po IFN- $\alpha$  with an FMD subunit vaccine in both swine (22) and

bovines (23). The benefit of this approach is the early onset of protection induced by the antiviral properties of the type I IFN and the long-lasting immunity obtained by the subunit vaccine. However, despite these encouraging results, this approach has limitations, such as the high doses of treatment that are required, the intramuscular route of administration hampering the treatment of large numbers of animals, the absence of field trials in animals having concomitant infections and the inclusion of only one FMDV serotype in the vaccine. In a recent study, the efficacy of po IFN- $\alpha$  combined with FMD subunit vaccine against a challenge with different serotypes was proved, supporting the continuance of investigations on IFN as an anti-FMDV agent (24).

As an alternative anti-FMDV strategy, RNAi technology has been explored. Several viral proteins have been investigated *in vitro* as potential targets to be used with RNAi, although only a few experiments have been performed during clinical trials in swine or in animal models (25, 26, 27). In addition to the viral targets selected in the course of these experiments, RNAi has shown high specificity and selectivity, but applicability in the field is remote because of constraints around the use of genetically engineered materials for therapeutic or prophylactic use.

### Classical swine fever virus

In the European Union and in non-European contexts, the presence of endemically infected wild boar or trade in infected animal products can lead to sporadic outbreaks of classical swine fever (CSF). The OIE policy on CSF is similar to that for FMD: the prophylactic vaccination of domestic pigs is banned in countries free of the disease and, instead, stamping-out measures (slaughter and burial or incineration of carcasses) are required to control disease outbreaks. Recourse to inhibitors of viral replication was recently proposed as an alternative or additional approach in controlling the disease. Various scenarios have been analysed in a model where CSF epidemics were simulated in an area of dense pig population (14) and the findings support the use of antiviral therapy in control of the infection.

Within a novel class of imidazopyridines, the compound 5-[(4-bromophenyl)methyl]-2-phenyl-5H-imidazo[4,5-c]-pyridine (BPIP), targeting the viral RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase NS5B (28), has been shown to have potent *in vitro* and *in vivo* activity towards CSF virus (CSFV) (28, 29). The compound was formulated for oral administration in feed as a rapid way to deliver the drug to large numbers of animals. Outcomes of treatment were a significant reduction of viraemia, absence of viable virus in the tonsils of challenged pigs four weeks post-infection and absence of adverse effects in healthy animals after treatment for 15 consecutive days (29). The effect of BPIP treatment on transmission of the virus from infected to naïve pigs was subsequently tested

(30), and reduced transmission was demonstrated in naïve pigs in contact with BPIP-treated animals compared with the positive control group, although a low and transient viraemia was detected (29). All together, these studies highlight the need for further experiments in larger numbers of animals in order to gain more significant data on the efficacy of BPIP treatment. Moreover, development of new imidazopyridine molecules could identify more potent inhibitors of this virus, resulting in greater reduction or complete suppression of viral transmission.

Following the recent examples of successful combination of immunostimulating cytokines such as type I IFN with subunit vaccines for rapid and long-lasting protection against FMDV, similar efforts have been made for CSF. Indeed, the immunogenicity of a vaccine candidate based on E2-CSFV was increased by the co-administration, in an oil-based vehicle, of human recombinant type I IFN (31). This approach prevented the appearance of clinical signs and viraemia in pigs challenged seven days post-vaccination (31). The minimum interval of time required to confer full protection should be evaluated in order to use this co-formulation as an early strategy for control of CSFV.

### Other viral infections of livestock

Bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV) is of veterinary importance and the focus of research on identification of new antiviral agents. Nevertheless, clinical application of putative candidates and promising molecules for use in control of the disease remains remote. The virus is used *in vitro* as a surrogate model of human hepatitis C virus in antiviral assays (8, 9), as the two viruses have similarities in their replication cycles and genetic organisation and in the function of their gene products. In comparison with other pestiviruses, BVDV offers many advantages for *in vitro* screening and characterisation of the mechanisms of action of selected antiviral compounds (8). However, despite the numerous efforts in the synthesis and further screening of anti-BVDV drugs (32) and the major problems caused by this virus in bovine production (33), there have been no clinical trials to develop an antiviral therapy suitable for treatment of acute infections and control of the disease in an infected herd.

Bluetongue virus is a potential target for development of antiviral drugs. The virus has multiple serotypes, there is no universal vaccine, and the direct and indirect economic consequences in the course of epizootics are dramatic. Control measures are limited to vaccination with serotype-specific vaccine, restrictions on animal movement, control of the vectors and animal culling (34). No *in vivo* antiviral studies on use of specific inhibitors of the virus are known, observations being limited to *in vitro* assays (35). However, the virus has been used in development of a high-throughput antiviral screening method (36), which could increase the

library of compounds tested against this virus and select potential candidates for future *in vivo* applications. Some thiophene derivatives have been further characterised *in vitro* and showed potent and selective activity against the virus, with a proposed virostatic mechanism of action (37).

Acyclic nucleoside phosphonates have broad-spectrum antiviral activity against many DNA viruses. In particular, cidofovir is officially licensed for treatment of cytomegalovirus retinitis in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), but it also has proven activity against adenoviruses and pox, herpes and papilloma viruses (38). Cidofovir has been used in several *in vivo* trials against caprine herpesvirus 1, an alphaherpesvirus infecting goats which has several biological similarities to human herpesvirus 2 (HHV-2). Topical application of 1% cidofovir cream proved effective in reducing clinical signs and viral shedding in goats infected with the caprine virus (39) but not in preventing viral latency or viral recurrence, thus reducing interest in its possible application in control of the disease in an infected herd (40). Nevertheless, these studies highlighted the use of the caprine virus as a model in evaluation of new therapeutic protocols for HHV-2, which causes (recurrent) genital herpes in humans (39, 40).

Orf virus is the causative agent of contagious ecthyma, a neglected zoonotic disease of sheep and goats that has severe economic consequences for animal production. After *in vitro* testing of the antiviral activity of several acyclic nucleoside phosphonates and prodrugs against orf virus (41, 42), cidofovir was selected as the best candidate for subsequent clinical trials. Topical administration of 1% cidofovir cream in lambs four days post-infection proved to be the best therapeutic protocol (43). To improve administration of the drug to large numbers of animals, an alternative formulation based on a cidofovir/sucralfate gel combination in spray was tested on infected lambs (44). In order to mimic field conditions, the therapeutic paint was sprayed onto lesions as soon as they were visible (lesions three to four days old). Treatment resulted in rapid resolution of the lesions, with scabs containing significantly lower amounts of viable virus compared with lesions treated with sucralfate alone (44). Such treatment would have therapeutic and prophylactic consequences, reducing both viral shedding in the environment and the possibility of re-infections.

## Viral infections of companion animals

### Feline herpesvirus 1

At present, the use of antivirals in veterinary medicine is mostly limited to treatment of cats with acute ocular disease caused by FeHV-1. Despite the availability of many

antiviral agents for topical or systemic administration adapted from treatment of human herpesvirus 1 (HHV-1) ocular keratitis, none has been developed specifically for FeHV-1 infection in cats. Following initial *in vitro* investigations, clinical trials have defined the *in vivo* efficacy and toxicity of these drugs, highlighting remarkable differences in their pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics in cats and humans (45).

Aciclovir, ganciclovir and penciclovir are acyclic nucleoside analogues and, after three phosphorylation steps, act as competitive inhibitors of the normal substrates (deoxynucleoside triphosphates or dNTPs) by interaction with viral DNA polymerase (46). Aciclovir has lower *in vitro* antiviral activity against FeHV-1 than against HHV-1 (47, 48) and poor bioavailability after oral administration in cats (49). Nevertheless, frequent administration of 0.5% aciclovir ophthalmic ointment was shown to be effective in resolving ocular FeHV-1 lesions in cats (50). Valaciclovir, the prodrug of aciclovir, which is characterised by higher oral bioavailability in cats, caused fatal hepatic and renal necrosis, as well as bone marrow suppression associated with a lack of protection towards FeHV-1 infection (51).

Cidofovir is an acyclic nucleotide analogue that needs only two phosphorylation steps to reach the active metabolite stage and is active against a broad range of DNA viruses (46). Cidofovir, in addition to a high *in vitro* antiviral activity (47, 48), also showed potent *in vivo* activity when administered twice daily as a 0.5% ophthalmic solution in experimentally infected cats (52). However, in the field it should be used with caution, as data supporting its long-term safety as a topical agent in cats are insufficient at present.

Penciclovir has shown *in vitro* anti-FeHV-1 activity similar to that of cidofovir (47). An ophthalmic formulation of penciclovir is lacking, but the safety of its prodrug famciclovir in pharmacokinetic studies of oral administration in cats (53) supported use of the latter in a recent study in experimentally infected cats (54). After treatment with famciclovir, the cats showed no detectable adverse clinical effects, their body weight continued to increase and the total clinical score was significantly lower than in the placebo-treated group of cats. Nevertheless, despite a reduction in viral shedding, the corneal ulcers were not significantly reduced in the famciclovir-treated cats, implying that topical mucinomimetics and antibiotics should be used simultaneously (54).

Idoxuridine and trifluoridine are both toxic if given systemically, but because of their high *in vitro* anti-FeHV-1 activity they are recommended for topical use in cats with ocular herpesvirus disease (4).

Next to the use of synthetic specific antiviral compounds, oral administration of the amino acid L-lysine as a diet supplement has been proposed as a safe treatment in

FeHV-1 infected cats, although the mechanism of action is not precisely defined. *In vitro*, cell culture media enriched with L-lysine and deprived of L-arginine induced reduction of FeHV-1 growth, suggesting a combined effect of the two amino acids on viral replication (55). Contradictory *in vivo* results have shown a potential antiviral effect of L-lysine in primary and latent infections in some experimental conditions (56, 57), but in the course of natural infections a lack of activity and sometimes an increase in disease severity has been observed (58, 59). Although use of L-lysine does not represent a threat to animals, as it appears safe, its administration as an antiviral cannot be recommended, as evidence of its efficacy is uncertain.

In a recent clinical trial in cats with naturally acquired viral keratoconjunctivitis, topical administration of recombinant feline IFN- $\omega$  did not improve the outcome of the infection when compared with recombinant human IFN- $\alpha$  (60).

### Feline retrovirus infections

In contrast to feline leukaemia virus (FeLV), no vaccine is currently available in Europe to protect cats against FIV. The inactivated vaccine available in the United States of America did not show any protection of cats during challenge with a virulent European strain (61). Although many drugs and immunomodulators have been reported active against both these retroviruses, only a few successful clinical trials have been reported (62). The first and most commonly used anti-retroviral in veterinary medicine is zidovudine, a nucleoside analogue that blocks viral reverse transcriptase. This antiviral can effectively inhibit FeLV and FIV *in vitro* and *in vivo*, thus reducing the virus load in plasma and improving immunological and clinical conditions. Reversible anaemia is the most common adverse effect and requires reduction of the dose or interruption of treatment (63). Fozivudine, a thioether lipid conjugate of zidovudine with a lower toxic potential than its parent compound, has been tested recently in a preliminary *in vivo* experiment in treatment of acute FIV and showed ability to reduce plasma viraemia and maintain unaltered haematocrit parameters (64). Further clinical trials and pharmacokinetic studies are necessary before fozivudine can be used in routine clinical practice.

The use of type I IFN in FeLV and FIV infections is controversial because, although the results of some studies have been encouraging, others have failed to confirm previous observations (65, 66). However, feline IFN- $\omega$  was recently licensed for veterinary use in Europe and Japan (5, 67). In a controlled study in symptomatic cats with FeLV infection or FeLV/FIV co-infection (68), a moderate positive effect was observed in IFN-treated cats, where milder clinical scores and higher survival rates were measured. No virological parameters, such as viraemia or virus shedding, were monitored (68).

### Canine viral infections

The treatment of ocular lesions associated with canine herpesvirus 1 infection with topical idoxuridine and trifluoridine has been reported (69) but, as for the feline herpesvirus, no specific antiviral agents have been developed.

Canine parvovirus type 2 (CPV-2) causes a lethal infectious enteritis of dogs, with the highest susceptibility in puppies during the weeks between the decline of maternal antibodies and development of active immunity following vaccination. Symptomatic treatment includes administration of intravenous fluids, anti-emetics, antibiotics and analgesics; normally no specific antiviral therapy is used. The therapeutic potential of feline IFN- $\omega$  against CPV-2 has been assessed under experimental (70) and field conditions (71) and the results demonstrated that, in comparison to treatment for clinical signs only, treatment with IFN- $\omega$  can reduce the clinical severity of the disease and the number of deaths. Unfortunately, these two studies did not investigate the potential of IFN- $\omega$  treatment in reducing viral shedding, which would undoubtedly be relevant in preventing re-infection and environmental contamination in infected kennels. In Europe, feline IFN- $\omega$  is licensed for use in dogs with CPV-2 clinical infection.

### Equine herpesvirus 1

Equine herpesvirus 1 is a widespread alphaherpesvirus of horses and causes abortion and myeloencephalopathy. Vaccination is poorly protective against abortion and not effective against myeloencephalopathy. The virus is an attractive target for antiviral chemotherapy because of the animal health and economic consequences of outbreaks affecting race competitions and in the context of horse breeding.

The activity of aciclovir against this virus has been tested *in vitro*, followed by *in vivo* pharmacokinetic experiments after intravenous administration in horses (72). However, repeated daily intravenous administration of aciclovir is not a treatment of choice in horses. In order to simplify administration of this drug, the pharmacokinetics of valaciclovir, the oral prodrug of aciclovir, have been studied in order to determine the dose that ensures adequate plasma concentrations of aciclovir (73). Valaciclovir was subsequently used in ponies experimentally infected with the virus, but despite the high plasma and mucosal levels of aciclovir, no effect was observed on development of clinical signs, viral shedding or levels of viraemia (74). Although this initial study was unsuccessful, this virus infection in horses is an ideal candidate and a current challenge for identification of new antiviral compounds.

## Influenza A virus infection in animals

Influenza A virus is a pathogen of livestock (swine, poultry) and companion animals (horses, dogs, cats). Although there is potential to treat influenza A virus infection in affected animals, the zoonotic character of this virus hampers the use of antivirals. Indeed, extensive use of anti-influenza molecules against the infection in swine and poultry could promote the emergence of resistant strains and impair the use of these drugs in humans. In pig and poultry farms, influenza infections should be controlled with prophylactic measures such as vaccination, biosecurity, hygiene and reduction of contact between domestic and wild animal populations. However, the use of anti-influenza drugs could be envisaged in the treatment of protected species such as tigers (75).

Animal models are extensively used for demonstrating the efficacy of antiviral drugs against influenza virus. Despite the absence of clinical signs following infection with seasonal influenza, mice are frequently used as a first animal model to test the efficacy of new anti-influenza candidates (76). Isolates of highly pathogenic H5N1 virus were found to be lethal in mice and were used in assays on the efficacy of molecules such as oseltamivir *in vivo* (77). Cotton rats, guinea pigs and, especially, ferrets are used for more advanced studies (78). Ferrets develop clinical signs very similar to those in human infection, but the reduced availability of reagents for this species in comparison with mice, together with the higher cost of experiments, hamper their more extensive use *in vivo* (76). As an example study, ferrets have been used to test the efficacy of oseltamivir, zanamivir and other developmental molecules against susceptible and resistant influenza A virus strains (79).

## Discussion

The availability of potent and specific antiviral drugs for use in veterinary medicine presents interesting perspectives for both companion and livestock animals.

Feline IFN- $\omega$  is currently licensed in Europe for treatment of FIV and FeLV infections in cats and CPV infections in dogs, and its efficacy has also been tested against feline calicivirus infections in clinical studies (80). However, the extensive use of feline IFN- $\omega$  in treatment of other diseases not covered by the licence should be implemented with caution until more evidence from further clinical trials is available. At present, apart from treatment of feline retrovirus and CPV infections with feline IFN- $\omega$ , use of antiviral therapy in veterinary practice mostly concerns the treatment of FeHV-1 keratitis with molecules developed in research in humans. Nonetheless, the current situation, which is characterised

by the convergence of numerous research efforts on the development of new and specific molecules for use in veterinary medicine, can prepare the field for more rational use of antivirals in companion and livestock animals in the future. Furthermore, many of the highlighted constraints (6, 7) have been overcome, and great advances have been made in diagnosis of diseases and in understanding the machinery of viral replication. However, the selection of drug-resistant variants has to be considered an emerging threat in the use of antivirals. This problem has already been observed in human medicine for RNA and DNA viruses, and it represents the major obstacle to use of antiviral therapy against the influenza virus in animal populations. Recently, intra-host variability of the gene for protein NS5B of CSFV was shown to increase when antiviral pressure was applied *in vitro* (81). The potential emergence of resistant viruses needs to be considered during evaluation of antiviral therapy for future veterinary medicine.

Antiviral therapy for companion animals can be envisaged for treatment of infected individual animals in order to improve their health and quality of life. For infected animals in kennels or cat shelters, treatment could be used for both therapeutic and prophylactic purposes, i.e. to reduce viral shedding and, consequently, to reduce the potential infection of susceptible animals.

In livestock animals, potential applications of antiviral drugs have been the subject of several considerations, especially for highly contagious and economically devastating diseases such as FMD and CSF (13, 29, 30). In particular, strategic use of antivirals in areas free of these two diseases has been discussed, with the aim of treating infected animals and reducing viral shedding, and consequently protecting other susceptible groups of animals. This strategy has been envisaged either alone or in association with the use of vaccines stimulating long-lasting immunity during the first days of disease emergence. The main efforts in development of an efficient antiviral strategy in livestock animals have been towards highly pathogenic RNA viruses, which are characterised by genetic variability and are more difficult to control. Nevertheless, there are some examples of DNA virus infections in livestock animals that show promise in the future use of antivirals.

The treatment of livestock animals requires easy administration of drugs, such as with oral preparations, and also requires relatively inexpensive drugs, as there is a need to treat large numbers of animals. The use of antiviral drugs in livestock animals also requires specific regulation on residues in animal products and in meat.

## Acknowledgement

Fabiana Dal Pozzo was supported by the Belgian Science Policy, Science for a Sustainable Development (contract SD/CL/09). ■

## Les chimiothérapies antivirales en médecine vétérinaire : applications actuelles et perspectives d'avenir

F. Dal Pozzo & E. Thiry

### Résumé

La situation actuelle dans le domaine des médicaments antiviraux à usage vétérinaire se caractérise par l'innovation et l'optimisme. Les virus importants pour la santé animale sont encore utilisés en tant que modèles animaux pour le développement de thérapeutiques humaines, mais certains d'entre eux suscitent en outre un intérêt croissant dans le cadre de la recherche de molécules antivirales pouvant être utilisées chez les animaux d'élevage et de compagnie. Chez les animaux d'élevage, ces antiviraux sont destinés au traitement ou au contrôle à grande échelle des maladies (prophylaxie de masse), tandis que pour les animaux de compagnie il s'agit surtout de stratégies individuelles. Les auteurs présentent quelques exemples parmi les plus récents de la recherche sur les antiviraux vétérinaires, en mettant particulièrement l'accent sur les applications *in vivo*.

### Mots-clés

Animal de compagnie – Animal d'élevage – Chimiothérapie – Immunomodulateurs – Médecine vétérinaire – Médicaments – Modèle animal – Prophylaxie – Thérapie antivirale.



## Aplicaciones actuales y perspectivas de la quimioterapia antiviral en medicina veterinaria

F. Dal Pozzo & E. Thiry

### Resumen

A día de hoy, el uso de fármacos antivirales en la medicina veterinaria se caracteriza por un enfoque novedoso y optimista. Los virus de importancia veterinaria todavía se utilizan como modelos animales para definir tratamientos en el hombre, pero muchos de estos virus también suscitan un creciente interés con vistas a descubrir moléculas antivirales que puedan emplearse en el ganado y los animales de compañía. El uso de fármacos antivirales en el ganado se concibe para tratar o combatir enfermedades a gran escala (terapias masivas), mientras que en los animales de compañía se privilegia el tratamiento individualizado. Los autores presentan sucintamente los más recientes ejemplos de investigaciones sobre el uso de antivirales en medicina veterinaria, haciendo especial hincapié en sus aplicaciones *in vivo*.

### Palabras clave

Animales de compañía – Control de enfermedades – Fármacos – Ganado – Immunomoduladores – Medicina veterinaria – Modelo animal – Quimioterapia – Terapia antiviral.



## References

- Coutard B., Gorbalyena A.E., Snijder E.J., Leontovich A.M., Poupon A., De Lamballerie X., Charrel R., Gould E.A., Gunther S., Norder H., Klempa B., Bourhy H., Rohayem J., Lhermite E., Nordlund P., Stuart D.I., Owens R.J., Grimes J.M., Tucker P.A., Bolognesi M., Mattevi A., Coll M., Jones T.A., Aqvist J., Unge T., Hilgenfeld R., Bricogne G., Neyts J., La Colla P., Puerstinger G., González J.P., Leroy E., Cambillau C., Romette J.L. & Canard B. (2008). – The VIZIER project: preparedness against pathogenic RNA viruses. *Antiviral Res.*, **78** (1), 37–46.
- Bracklein T., Theise S., Metzler A., Spiess B.M. & Richter M. (2006). – Activity of feline interferon-omega after ocular or oral administration in cats as indicated by Mx protein expression in conjunctival and white blood cells. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **67** (6), 1025–1032.
- European Union (2004). – Directive 2004/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 amending Directive 2001/82/EC on the Community code relating to veterinary medicinal products. *Off. J. Eur. Union*, **L136**, 58–84. Available at: [eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32004L0028:EN:HTML](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32004L0028:EN:HTML) (accessed on 12 August 2013).
- Thiry E., Addie D., Belák S., Boucraut-Baralon C., Egberink H., Frymus T., Gruffydd-Jones T., Hartmann K., Hosie M.J., Lloret A., Lutz H., Marsilio F., Pennisi M.G., Radford A.D., Truyen U. & Horzinek M.C. (2009). – Feline herpesvirus infection. ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. *J. feline Med. Surg.*, **11** (7), 547–555.
- Hosie M.J., Addie D., Belák S., Boucraut-Baralon C., Egberink H., Frymus T., Gruffydd-Jones T., Hartmann K., Lloret A., Lutz H., Marsilio F., Pennisi M.G., Radford A.D., Thiry E., Truyen U. & Horzinek M.C. (2009). – Feline immunodeficiency. ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. *J. feline Med. Surg.*, **11** (7), 575–584.
- Rollinson E.A. (1992). – Prospects for antiviral chemotherapy in veterinary medicine. I: Feline virus diseases. *Antivir. Chem. Chemother.*, **3** (5), 249–262.
- Rollinson E.A. (1992). – Prospects for antiviral chemotherapy in veterinary medicine. II: Avian, piscine, canine, porcine, bovine and equine virus diseases. *Antivir. Chem. Chemother.*, **3** (6), 311–326.
- Buckwold V.E., Beer B.E. & Donis R.O. (2003). – Bovine viral diarrhoea virus as a surrogate model of hepatitis C virus for the evaluation of antiviral agents. *Antiviral Res.*, **60** (1), 1–15.
- Paeshuyse J., Leyssen P., Mabery E., Boddeker N., Vrancken R., Froeyen M., Ansari I.H., Dutartre H., Rozanski J., Gil L.H., Letellier C., Lanford R., Canard B., Koenen F., Kerkhofs P., Donis R.O., Herdewijn P., Watson J., De Clercq E., Puerstinger G. & Neyts J. (2006). – A novel, highly selective inhibitor of pestivirus replication that targets the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *J. Virol.*, **80** (1), 149–160.
- Christensen N.D. (2005). – Cottontail rabbit papillomavirus (CRPV) model system to test antiviral and immunotherapeutic strategies. *Antivir. Chem. Chemother.*, **16** (6), 355–362.
- Van Rompay K.K. (2010). – Evaluation of antiretrovirals in animal models of HIV infection. *Antiviral Res.*, **85** (1), 159–175.
- Cuijpers M.P. & Osinga K.J. (2002). – The position of the Dutch Farmers' Union on lessons learned and future prevention and control of foot and mouth disease. *Rev. sci. tech. Off. int. Epiz.*, **21** (3), 839–850.
- Goris N., De Palma A., Toussaint J.F., Musch I., Neyts J. & De Clercq K. (2007). – 2'-C-methylcytidine as a potent and selective inhibitor of the replication of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *Antiviral Res.*, **73** (3), 161–168.
- Backer J.A., Vrancken R., Neyts J. & Goris N. (2013). – The potential of antiviral agents to control classical swine fever: a modelling study. *Antiviral Res.*, **99** (3), 245–250.
- Grubman M.J. & Baxt B. (2004). – Foot-and-mouth disease. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, **17** (2), 465–493.
- De Palma A.M., Heggermont W., Leyssen P., Pürstinger G., Wimmer E., De Clercq E., Rao A., Monforte A.M., Chimirri A. & Neyts J. (2007). – Anti-enterovirus activity and structure-activity relationship of a series of 2,6-dihalophenyl-substituted 1H,3H-thiazolo[3,4-a] benzimidazoles. *Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun.*, **353** (3), 628–632.
- Lefebvre D.J., De Vleeschauwer A.R., Goris N., Kollanur D., Billiet A., Muraio L., Neyts J. & De Clercq K. (2013). – Proof of concept for the inhibition of foot-and-mouth disease virus replication by the anti-viral drug 2'-C-methylcytidine in severe combined immunodeficient mice. *Transbound. emerg. Dis.* doi:10.1111/tbed.12069.
- Sakamoto K., Ohashi S., Yamazoe R., Takahashi K. & Furuta Y. (2006). – The inhibition of FMD virus excretion from the infected pigs by an antiviral agent, T-1105. In International control of foot-and-mouth disease: tools, trends and perspectives, 2006 Session of the Research Group of the Standing Technical Committee of the European Commission for the Control of Foot-and-Mouth Disease, 15–21 October, Paphos, Cyprus. Appendix 64. FAO, Rome, 418–424. Available at: [www.fao.org/ag/againfo/commissions/docs/research\\_group/paphos/App64.pdf](http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/commissions/docs/research_group/paphos/App64.pdf) (accessed on 3 February 2014).
- Furuta Y., Takahashi K., Kuno-Maekawa M., Sangawa H., Uehara S., Kozaki K., Nomura N., Egawa H. & Shiraki K. (2005). – Mechanism of action of T-705 against influenza virus. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **49** (3), 981–986.
- Chinsangaram J., Moraes M.P., Koster M. & Grubman M.J. (2003). – Novel viral disease control strategy: adenovirus expressing alpha interferon rapidly protects swine from foot-and-mouth disease. *J. Virol.*, **77** (2), 1621–1625.

21. Wu Q., Brum M.C., Caron L., Koster M. & Grubman M.J. (2003). – Adenovirus-mediated type I interferon expression delays and reduces disease signs in cattle challenged with foot-and-mouth disease virus. *J. Interf. Cytok. Res.*, **23** (7), 359–368.
22. Moraes M.P., Chinsangaram J., Brum M.C. & Grubman M.J. (2003). – Immediate protection of swine from foot-and-mouth disease: a combination of adenoviruses expressing interferon alpha and a foot-and-mouth disease virus subunit vaccine. *Vaccine*, **22** (2), 268–279.
23. Pacheco J.M., Brum M.C., Moraes M.P., Golde W.T. & Grubman M.J. (2005). – Rapid protection of cattle from direct challenge with foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) by a single inoculation with an adenovirus-vectored FMDV subunit vaccine. *Virology*, **337** (2), 205–209.
24. Dias C.C., Moraes M.P., Segundo F.D., de los Santos T. & Grubman M.J. (2011). – Porcine type I interferon rapidly protects swine against challenge with multiple serotypes of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *J. Interf. Cytok. Res.*, **31** (2), 227–236.
25. Chen W., Liu M., Jiao Y., Yan W., Wei X., Chen J., Fei L., Liu Y., Zuo X., Yang F., Lu Y. & Zheng Z. (2006). – Adenovirus-mediated RNA interference against foot-and-mouth disease virus infection both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J. Virol.*, **80** (7), 3559–3566.
26. Kim S.M., Lee K.N., Park J.Y., Ko Y.J., Joo Y.S., Kim H.S. & Park J.H. (2008). – Therapeutic application of RNA interference against foot-and-mouth disease virus *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Antiviral Res.*, **80** (2), 178–184.
27. Xu Y.F., Shen H.Y., Zhao M.Q., Chen L.J., Li Y.G., Liao M., Jia J.T., Lv Y.R., Yi L. & Chen J.D. (2012). – Adenovirus-vectored shRNAs targeted to the highly conserved regions of VP1 and 2B in tandem inhibits replication of foot-and-mouth disease virus both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J. Virol. Meth.*, **181** (1), 51–58. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2012.01.010.
28. Vrancken R., Paeshuyse J., Haegeman A., Puerstinger G., Froeyen M., Herdewijn P., Kerkhofs P., Neyts J. & Koenen F. (2008). – Imidazo[4,5-c]pyridines inhibit the *in vitro* replication of the classical swine fever virus and target the viral polymerase. *Antiviral Res.*, **77** (2), 114–119.
29. Vrancken R., Haegeman A., Paeshuyse J., Puerstinger G., Rozenski J., Wright M., Tignon M., Le Potier M.F., Neyts J. & Koenen F. (2009). – Proof of concept for the reduction of classical swine fever infection in pigs by a novel viral polymerase inhibitor. *J. gen. Virol.*, **90** (6), 1335–1342.
30. Vrancken R., Haegeman A., Dewulf J., Paeshuyse J., Puerstinger G., Tignon M., Le Potier M.F., Neyts J. & Koenen F. (2009). – The reduction of CSFV transmission to untreated pigs by the pestivirus inhibitor BPIP: a proof of concept. *Vet. Microbiol.*, **139** (3–4), 365–368.
31. Toledo J.R., Barrera M., Farnós O., Gómez S., Rodríguez M.P., Agüero F., Ormazabal V., Parra N.C., Suárez L. & Sánchez O. (2010). – Human  $\alpha$ IFN co-formulated with milk derived E2-CSFV protein induce early full protection in vaccinated pigs. *Vaccine*, **28** (50), 7907–7914.
32. Finkielsztein L.M., Moltrasio G.Y., Caputto M.E., Castro E.F., Cavallaro L.V. & Moglioni A.G. (2010). – What is known about the antiviral agents active against bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV)? *Curr. Med. Chem.*, **17** (26), 2933–2955.
33. Houe H. (2003). – Economic impact of BVDV infection in dairies. *Biologicals*, **31** (2), 137–143.
34. Dal Pozzo F., Saegerman C. & Thiry E. (2009). – Bovine infection with bluetongue virus with special emphasis on European serotype 8. *Vet. J.*, **182** (2), 142–151.
35. Smeets D.F., Sidwell R.W., Clark S.M., Barnett B.B. & Spendlove R.S. (1981). – Inhibition of bluetongue and Colorado tick fever orbiviruses by selected antiviral substances. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **20** (4), 533–538.
36. Li Q., Maddox C., Rasmussen L., Hobrath J.V. & White L.E. (2009). – Assay development and high-throughput antiviral drug screening against bluetongue virus. *Antiviral Res.*, **83** (3), 267–273.
37. Gu L., Musiienko V., Bai Z., Qin A., Schneller S.W. & Li Q. (2012). – Novel virostatic agents against bluetongue virus. *PLoS ONE*, **7** (8), e43341.
38. De Clercq E. (2009). – Looking back in 2009 at the dawning of antiviral therapy now 50 years ago: an historical perspective. *Adv. Virus Res.*, **73**, 1–53.
39. Tempesta M., Camero M., Bellacicco A.L., Tarsitano E., Crescenzo G., Thiry J., Martella V., Decaro N., Elia G., Neyts J., Thiry E. & Buonavoglia C. (2007). – Potent inhibition of genital herpesvirus infection in goats by cidofovir. *Antivir. Ther.*, **12** (6), 977–979.
40. Camero M., Crescenzo G., Marinaro M., Tarsitano E., Bellacicco A.L., Armenise C., Buonavoglia C. & Tempesta M. (2010). – Cidofovir does not prevent caprine herpesvirus type-1 neural latency in goats. *Antivir. Ther.*, **15** (5), 785–788.
41. Dal Pozzo F., Andrei G., Holy A., Van Den Oord J., Scagliarini A., De Clercq E. & Snoeck R. (2005). – Activities of acyclic nucleoside phosphonates against orf virus in human and ovine cell monolayers and organotypic ovine raft cultures. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **49** (12), 4843–4852.
42. Dal Pozzo F., Andrei G., Lebeau I., Beadle J.R., Hostetler K.Y., De Clercq E. & Snoeck R. (2007). – *In vitro* evaluation of the anti-orf virus activity of alkoxyalkyl esters of CDV, cCDV and (S)-HPMPA. *Antiviral Res.*, **75** (1), 52–57.

43. Scagliarini A., McInnes C.J., Gallina L., Dal Pozzo F., Scagliarini L., Snoeck R., Prosperi S., Sales J., Gilray J.A. & Nettleton P.F. (2007). – Antiviral activity of HPMPC (cidofovir) against orf virus infected lambs. *Antiviral Res.*, **73** (3), 169–174.
44. Sonvico F., Colombo G., Gallina L., Bortolotti F., Rossi A., McInnes C.J., Massimo G., Colombo P. & Scagliarini A. (2009). – Therapeutic paint of cidofovir/sucralfate gel combination topically administered by spraying for treatment of orf virus infections. *AAPS J.*, **11** (2), 242–249.
45. Maggs D.J. (2010). – Antiviral therapy for feline herpesvirus infections. *Vet. Clin. N. Am. (small Anim. Pract.)*, **40** (6), 1055–1062.
46. De Clercq E. (2003). – Potential of acyclic nucleoside phosphonates in the treatment of DNA virus and retrovirus infections. *Expert Rev. anti-infect. Ther.*, **1** (1), 21–43.
47. Maggs D.J. & Clarke H.E. (2004). – *In vitro* efficacy of ganciclovir, cidofovir, penciclovir, foscarnet, idoxuridine, and acyclovir against feline herpesvirus type-1. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **65** (4), 399–403.
48. Van der Meulen K., Garré B., Croubels S. & Nauwynck H. (2006). – *In vitro* comparison of antiviral drugs against feline herpesvirus 1. *BMC vet. Res.*, **2**, 13.
49. Owens J.G., Nasisse M.P., Tadepalli S.M. & Dorman D.C. (1996). – Pharmacokinetics of acyclovir in the cat. *J. vet. Pharmacol. Therapeut.*, **19** (6), 488–490.
50. Williams D.L., Robinson J.C., Lay E. & Field H. (2005). – Efficacy of topical aciclovir for the treatment of feline herpetic keratitis: results of a prospective clinical trial and data from *in vitro* investigations. *Vet. Rec.*, **157** (9), 254–257.
51. Nasisse M.P., Dorman D.C., Jamison K.C., Weigler B.J., Hawkins E.C. & Stevens J.B. (1997). – Effects of valacyclovir in cats infected with feline herpesvirus 1. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **58** (10), 1141–1144.
52. Fontenelle J.P., Powell C.C., Veir J.K., Radecki S.V. & Lappin M.R. (2008). – Effect of topical ophthalmic application of cidofovir on experimentally induced primary ocular feline herpesvirus-1 infection in cats. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **69** (2), 289–293.
53. Thomasy S.M., Maggs D.J., Moulin N.K. & Stanley S.D. (2007). – Pharmacokinetics and safety of penciclovir following oral administration of famciclovir to cats. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **68** (11), 1252–1258.
54. Thomasy S.M., Lim C.C., Reilly C.M., Kass P.H., Lappin M.R. & Maggs D.J. (2011). – Evaluation of orally administered famciclovir in cats experimentally infected with feline herpesvirus type-1. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **72** (1), 85–95.
55. Maggs D.J., Collins B.K., Thorne J.G. & Nasisse M.P. (2000). – Effects of L-lysine and L-arginine on *in vitro* replication of feline herpesvirus type-1. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **61** (12), 1474–1478.
56. Stiles J., Townsend W.M., Rogers Q.R. & Krohne S.G. (2002). – Effect of oral administration of L-lysine on conjunctivitis caused by feline herpesvirus in cats. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **63** (1), 99–103.
57. Maggs D.J., Nasisse M.P. & Kass P.H. (2003). – Efficacy of oral supplementation with L-lysine in cats latently infected with feline herpesvirus. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **64** (1), 37–42.
58. Drazenovich T.L., Fascetti A.J., Westermeyer H.D., Sykes J.E., Bannasch M.J., Kass P.H., Hurley K.F. & Maggs D.J. (2009). – Effects of dietary lysine supplementation on upper respiratory and ocular disease and detection of infectious organisms in cats within an animal shelter. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **70** (11), 1391–1400.
59. Rees T.M. & Lubinski J.L. (2008). – Oral supplementation with L-lysine did not prevent upper respiratory infection in a shelter population of cats. *J. feline Med. Surg.*, **10** (5), 510–513.
60. Slack J.M., Stiles J., Leutenegger C.M., Moore G.E. & Pogranichny R.M. (2013). – Effects of topical ocular administration of high doses of human recombinant interferon alpha-2b and feline recombinant interferon omega on naturally occurring viral keratoconjunctivitis in cats. *Am. J. vet. Res.*, **74** (2), 281–289.
61. Dunham S.P., Bruce J., MacKay S., Golder M., Jarrett O. & Neil J.C. (2006). – Limited efficacy of an inactivated feline immunodeficiency virus vaccine. *Vet. Rec.*, **158** (16), 561–562.
62. Levy J., Crawford C., Hartmann K., Hofmann-Lehmann R., Little S., Sundahl E. & Thayer V. (2008). – 2008 American Association of Feline Practitioners' feline retrovirus management guidelines. *J. feline Med. Surg.*, **10** (3), 300–316.
63. Hartmann K., Donath A., Beer B., Egberink H.F., Horzinek M.C., Lutz H., Hoffmann-Fezer G., Thum I. & Thefeld S. (1992). – Use of two virustatics (AZT, PMEAs) in the treatment of FIV and of FeLV seropositive cats with clinical symptoms. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.*, **35** (1–2), 167–175.
64. Fogle J.E., Tompkins W.A., Campbell B., Sumner D. & Tompkins M.B. (2011). – Fozivudine tidoxil as single-agent therapy decreases plasma and cell-associated viremia during acute feline immunodeficiency virus infection. *J. vet. internal Med.*, **25** (3), 413–418.
65. McCaw D.L., Boon G.D., Jergens A.E., Kern M.R., Bowles M.H. & Johnson J.C. (2001). – Immunomodulation therapy for feline leukemia virus infection. *J. Am. Anim. Hosp. Assoc.*, **37** (4), 356–363.
66. Weiss R.C., Cummins J.M. & Richards A.B. (1991). – Low-dose orally administered alpha interferon treatment for feline leukemia virus infection. *JAVMA*, **199** (10), 1477–1481.

67. European Union (2011). – Summary of European Union decisions on marketing authorisations in respect of medicinal products from 1 July 2011 to 31 August 2011. *Off. J. Eur. Union*, **C316**, 1–17. Available at: [eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2011:316:0001:0017:EN:PDF](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2011:316:0001:0017:EN:PDF) (accessed on 28 January 2014).
68. De Mari K., Maynard L., Sanquer A., Lebreux B. & Eun H.M. (2004). – Therapeutic effects of recombinant feline interferon-omega on feline leukemia virus (FeLV)-infected and FeLV/feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV)-coinfected symptomatic cats. *J. vet. internal Med.*, **18** (4), 477–482.
69. Ledbetter E.C., Riis R.C., Kern T.J., Haley N.J. & Schatzberg S.J. (2006). – Corneal ulceration associated with naturally occurring canine herpesvirus-1 infection in two adult dogs. *JAVMA*, **229** (3), 376–384.
70. Martin V., Najbar W., Gueguen S., Grousson D., Eun H.M., Lebreux B. & Aubert A. (2002). – Treatment of canine parvoviral enteritis with interferon-omega in a placebo-controlled challenge trial. *Vet. Microbiol.*, **89** (2–3), 115–127.
71. De Mari K., Maynard L., Eun H.M. & Lebreux B. (2003). – Treatment of canine parvoviral enteritis with interferon-omega in a placebo-controlled field trial. *Vet. Rec.*, **152** (4), 105–108.
72. Garré B., Shebany K., Gryspeerdt A., Baert K., van der Meulen K., Nauwynck H., Deprez P., De Backer P. & Croubels S. (2007). – Pharmacokinetics of acyclovir after intravenous infusion of acyclovir and after oral administration of acyclovir and its prodrug valacyclovir in healthy adult horses. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **51** (12), 4308–4314.
73. Garré B., Baert K., Nauwynck H., Deprez P., De Backer P. & Croubels S. (2009). – Multiple oral dosing of valacyclovir in horses and ponies. *J. vet. Pharmacol. Therapeut.*, **32** (3), 207–212.
74. Garré B., Gryspeerdt A., Croubels S., De Backer P. & Nauwynck H. (2009). – Evaluation of orally administered valacyclovir in experimentally EHV1-infected ponies. *Vet. Microbiol.*, **135** (3–4), 214–221.
75. Thanawongnuwech R., Amonsin A., Tantilertcharoen R., Damrongwatanapokin S., Theamboonlers A., Payungporn S., Nanthapornphiphat K., Ratanamungklanon S., Tunak E., Songserm T., Vivatthanavanich V., Lekdumrongsak T., Kesdangakonwut S., Tunhikorn S. & Poovorawan Y. (2005). – Probable tiger-to-tiger transmission of avian influenza H5N1. *Emerg. infect. Dis.*, **11** (5), 699–701.
76. Barnard D.L. (2009). – Animal models for the study of influenza pathogenesis and therapy. *Antiviral Res.*, **82** (2), A110–122.
77. Govorkova E.A., Ilyushina N.A., McClaren J.L., Naipospos T.S., Douangngeun B. & Webster R.G. (2009). – Susceptibility of highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza viruses to the neuraminidase inhibitor oseltamivir differs *in vitro* and in a mouse model. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **53** (7), 3088–3096.
78. Smeets D.F. & Barnard D.L. (2013). – Methods for evaluation of antiviral efficacy against influenza virus infections in animal models. In *Antiviral methods and protocols* (E. Yunhao Gong, ed.). Methods in molecular biology, 2nd Ed. Vol. 1030, 407–425.
79. Hurt A.C., Nor'e S.S., McCaw J.M., Fryer H.R., Mosse J., McLean A.R. & Barr I.G. (2010). – Assessing the viral fitness of oseltamivir-resistant influenza viruses in ferrets, using a competitive-mixtures model. *J. Virol.*, **84** (18), 9427–9438.
80. Hennet P.R., Camy G.A., McGahie D.M. & Albouy M.V. (2011). – Comparative efficacy of a recombinant feline interferon omega in refractory cases of calicivirus-positive cats with caudal stomatitis: a randomised, multi-centre, controlled, double-blind study in 39 cats. *J. feline Med. Surg.*, **13** (8), 577–587.
81. Haegeman A., Vrancken R., Neyts J. & Koenen F. (2013). – Intra-host variation structure of classical swine fever virus NS5B in relation to antiviral therapy. *Antiviral Res.*, **98** (2), 266–272.

